

Title	NZRBUGT Position to Jobs Comparison FY
Version	1.1
Date	20100916
Created by	Janice Minder, SDBOR
Edited by	Janice Minder
Copyright	South Dakota Board of Regents
Process Owner	Human Resources and Budget Module
Dept/Division	Human Resources, Budget and Finance
Get help with this process	Don Coble, Claudean Hluchy and Janice Minder
Processes	NZRBUGT
	NBAJOBS
	NBAPBUD
	NA
	NA

Description:

During the Salary Planner period, much work is completed for FY salary policy and budget. This report (NZRBUGT) provides a comparison of the Jobs and Position data once Salary Planner is moved into PROD INB [NBAJOBS and NBAPBUD]. This report can be run by Institution, FY, and College [from PEAEMPL]. The security classes currently tied to this report include Budget and HR Generalist.

Reference Information:

Below is a screen shot of the parameters – College can be located by selecting Values and STVCOLL will open for a selection. You can use % for all. Those that do not have college set up on PEAEMPL, should use N. N will only pull up those that do not have a college codes established. I.e., you have 95% of your employees tied to a College Code for reporting, and 5% are left Null. To pick up only those, insert an N in the parameter.

Oracle Developer Forms Runtime - Web: Open > GJAPCTL

File Edit Options Block Item Record Query Tools Help

Process Submission Controls GJAPCTL 7.4.1.1 (PROD)

Process: NZRBUGT Position / Budget Comparison Parameter Set:

Printer Control

Printer: DATABASE Special Print: Lines: Submit Time:

Parameter Values

Number	Parameters	Values
01	Institution	USD
02	Fiscal Year	2010
03	College	%

LENGTH: 10 TYPE: Character O/R: Required M/S: Single
Institution Initials ie: DSU, SDSU, AES, CES,...

Submission

Save Parameter Set as Name: Description: Hold Submit

Record: 1/3 ... List of Valu... <OSC>

The output of the report provides the following:

1. Jobs Data: PIDM, Name, Position/Suffix, Begin Date, Effective Date, Salary, FOAP, Percent
2. Position Data: Title, Position, Type (pooled/Single), Effective Date, Budgeted Amt, FOAP, Percent

What to review for FY comparison:

1. Effective Date on Jobs – does it reflect the date of the contract?
2. FOAP – Where more than one FOAP exists, are the percentages correct? There will be a line for each FOAP with Percentage documented.
3. Title – Is the title correct?
4. Budget – Salary – Are these the intended amounts? If differences exist, should they exist?
5. Type on the job indicates Primary or Secondary.
6. Type on the budget indicates Pooled or Single.
7. Indicator for Budget – C = Current and is pulled from the base PBUD tab.
8. The effective date for Budget should be 01-Jul-YEAR for the first of the FY.

Report View:

NZRBUGT

South Dakota Board of Regents
A/P Processing Center
Budget Comparison

Page 1

06/18/2009 10:05:33

Ver: 062009.17
Fiscal Year : 2010
College : UE

		University of South Dakota										
PIDM	Name	Posn / Suff	Type	Begin Date	Eff Date	Salary	FUND	ORGN	ACCT	PROG	PERCENT	
P_BUD ==>	Title	Posn	Type	Ind.	Eff. date	Budget Amt.	Fund	Org	Acct	Prog	Percent	
1205	NAME	UE9538 / 00	P	01-JUL-2006	22-AUG-2009	57,385.00	235594	240520	611030	01	60.64	
P_BUD ==>	Associate Professor	UE9538	S	C	01-JUL-2009	57,385.00	235594	240520	611030	01	60.64	
1205	NAME	UE9538 / 00	P	01-JUL-2006	22-AUG-2009	57,385.00	237700	240520	611030	01	39.36	
P_BUD ==>	Associate Professor	UE9538	S	C	01-JUL-2009	57,385.00	237700	240520	611030	01	39.36	

Related Documents:

NA

Links:

NA